

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>TRANSCONTINENTAL</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:13-CV-2163</b>
<b>REFRIGERATED LINES, by</b>	:	
<b>LAWRENCE V. YOUNG, ESQ.,</b>	:	<b>(Chief Judge Conner)</b>
<b>Liquidating Agent,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>Plaintiff</b>	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>NEW PRIME, INC., et al.,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>Defendants</b>	:	

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 31st day of July, 2015, upon consideration of the notice of settlement (Doc. 166) filed jointly by plaintiff debtor Transcontinental Refrigerated Lines, Inc., (“Transcontinental”), and defendant New Prime, Inc., (“New Prime”), informing the court of a settlement agreement reached between Transcontinental and New Prime, and advising that various pending motions are or will be rendered moot thereby, but the court noting that a plaintiff may voluntarily dismiss an action by notice only when the opposing party has not served an answer or a motion for summary judgment, see FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i), or by stipulation signed by all parties who have appeared, see FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), and that neither of the provisions for dismissal by notice or stipulation are satisfied *sub judice*, but the court observing that Rule 41 further permits a plaintiff to otherwise file a motion to

dismiss an action by court order on terms that the court deems proper, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2); see also Dodge-Regupol, Inc. v. RB Rubber Prods., Inc., 585 F. Supp. 2d 645, 652 (M.D. Pa. 2008) (enumerating factors relevant to the court's analysis under Rule 41(a)(2)),<sup>1</sup> it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The parties' joint notice of settlement (Doc. 166) is STRICKEN.
2. Within seven (7) days of the date of this order, the parties shall file a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties to the action, see FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(a)(ii), or a motion to dismiss, see FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(2).
3. Any filing pursuant to ¶ 2 shall clearly identify the claims and parties to be dismissed, and indicate whether the claims are to be dismissed with prejudice or without. Cf. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(B), (2) (noting that, unless otherwise indicated by the parties or the court, dismissal shall be without prejudice).

/S/ CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER  
Christopher C. Conner, Chief Judge  
United States District Court  
Middle District of Pennsylvania

---

<sup>1</sup> The instant notice does not take the form of a motion as contemplated by Rule 41(a)(2). Nor does it identify which claims and counterclaims are subject to the proposed dismissal or whether those claims should be dismissed with prejudice or without. As a consequence, the court declines to construe the instant notice as a Rule 41(a)(2) motion.